UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/580,394	05/22/2006	Kyung Sang Cho	PHO0024US	1954
23413 CANTOR COL	7590 09/21/201 BURN LLP	1	EXAM	IINER
20 Church Stree	et	BREVAL, ELMITO		
22nd Floor Hartford, CT 06103			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2889	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/21/2011	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptopatentmail@cantorcolburn.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/580,394	CHO ET AL.				
Examiner-initiated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	ELMITO BREVAL	2889				
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):					
(1) <u>ELMITO BREVAL</u> .	(3) Grant M. Ehrlich.					
(2) Karabi Guharay.	(4)					
Date of Interview: <u>13 September 2011</u> .						
Type: X Telephonic Video Conference Personal [copy given to: Applicant [	☑ applicant's representative]					
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	☑ No.					
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Othe (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detail						
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1 and 10</u> .						
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Bulovic et al., (US. Pubet al., (US. Pub: 2004/0251824)</u> .	: 2004:0023010; Jain et al, (U	<u>S. Pub: 6,797,4</u>	12) and Chen			
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, argume		dentification or clarific	cation of a			
The applicant's representative argued that the proposed amendment of the independent claim 1 overcome the prior arts of record. The examiner agreed that the proposed amendment appears to overcome the prior arts of record. Also, the examiner suggested the applicant's representative to add the proposed amendment to the independent claim 10.						
		•				
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.						
<b>Examiner recordation instructions</b> : Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.						
☐ Attachment						
/Elmito Breval/ Examiner, Art Unit 2889	/Karabi Guharay/ Examiner, Art unit 2889					

Application No.

Applicant(s)